



Attitudes and practices regarding preventive oral health self-care among patients at Ha Dong General Hospital in 2025

Nguyen Thi Duyen¹, Truong Tuan Anh², Nguyen Thi Nguyet³

¹Ha Dong General Hospital; ²Nam Dinh University of Nursing

³University of Medicine and Pharmacy - Vietnam National University Hanoi

ABSTRACT

Objective: To describe the attitudes and practices regarding preventive oral health self-care among patients visiting Ha Dong General Hospital in 2025. **Methods:** A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted on 401 patients attending the Odonto-Stomatology Clinic at Ha Dong General Hospital from March to May 2025. Data were collected using a validated questionnaire assessing attitudes and practices towards preventive oral care, yielding Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficients of 0.933 and 0.947 for the attitude and practice domains, respectively. **Results:** Regarding attitudes toward preventive oral self-care, 60.1% of patients demonstrated a good attitude, 19.7% were average, and 20.2% were poor. In terms of practices, 60.1% exhibited good practices, 10.2% were average, and 29.7% were poor. The mean scores for attitudes and practices varied significantly according to gender and age ($p < 0.05$). Furthermore, a strong and statistically significant positive correlation was observed between patients' attitudes and their practices ($r = 0.924$, $p = 0.001$). **Conclusion:** Patient attitudes and practices concerning preventive oral health self-care remain suboptimal. Targeted oral health counseling and health education, meticulously tailored to specific age groups and genders, must be prioritized by nursing staff in clinical settings.

Keywords: Oral diseases, self-care, attitudes, practices, preventive dentistry.

INTRODUCTION

Oral health is not merely about maintaining aesthetically pleasing and sound teeth; it serves as a fundamental pillar of systemic health and significantly impacts an individual's overall quality of life¹. Many oral diseases are highly preventable through appropriate health education and risk factor management. Efficacious oral hygiene is critical for maintaining optimal oral health, systemic well-being, and overall quality of

life. Furthermore, adequate knowledge and positive attitudes toward oral healthcare are essential prerequisites for initiating and sustaining preventive health practices².

Achieving optimal oral health requires a synergistic combination of robust knowledge, a positive attitude, and consistent oral hygiene practices^{1, 3}. Conversely, negative attitudes frequently precipitate suboptimal oral hygiene practices, serving as a primary etiology

for oral pathologies^{4,5}. Previous literature highlights this concern; for instance, a study by Bumb et al. demonstrated that 19.6% of participants harbored negative preventive attitudes, and 52.3% exhibited inadequate oral hygiene practices⁴. Similarly, Nguyen reported that 45.5% of subjects lacked positive attitudes and 90.9% maintained poor oral hygiene routines. Currently, the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of oral diseases encounter numerous challenges, exacerbated by the general population's limited oral health literacy^{4,6}.

At the Odonto-Stomatology Clinic of Ha Dong General Hospital, patient visits are predominantly curative, focusing on the treatment of existing dental conditions, while systematic counseling and guidance regarding preventive oral self-care remain under-evaluated. To date, no empirical study has been conducted at this institution to comprehensively describe patients' attitudes and practices concerning preventive oral self-care or to determine the correlation between these variables. This research gap underscores the critical need for an empirical investigation to provide a scientific foundation for developing tailored oral health education and communication strategies. Therefore, this study was conducted to describe the attitudes and practices regarding preventive oral care among patients visiting Ha Dong General Hospital in 2025.

METHODS

Study design: A cross-sectional descriptive study design was employed.

Study setting and duration: The research was conducted at the Odonto-Stomatology Clinic, Ha Dong General Hospital. The data collection phase took place from March to May 2025.

Study population: The study population comprised patients presenting for examination at the Odonto-Stomatology Clinic, Ha Dong General Hospital.

Inclusion Criteria: Patients aged 18 years and older, possessing the cognitive and communicative abilities to complete the questionnaire, who provided voluntary informed consent to participate.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients presenting with acute medical emergencies precluding survey participation, and individuals diagnosed with severe psychiatric disorders impairing their cognitive capacity.

Sample size and sampling method: The required sample size was determined using the formula for estimating a single population proportion:

$$n = Z_{(1-\alpha/2)}^2 \frac{p(1-p)}{d^2}$$

Where: n: Minimum required sample size. Z: Confidence level coefficient, Z = 1.96 for a 95% confidence interval ($\alpha = 0.05$). p: The estimated proportion of patients with good preventive oral care practices, referenced at p = 0.473 (47.3%) based on a previous study by Pham Hong Phuc⁷. d: Allowable margin of error, set at d = 0.05.

Applying these parameters, the calculated minimum sample size was 382. To account for a potential 5% non-response or dropout rate, the final sample size was adjusted to 401 patients. Participants were recruited using a consecutive convenience sampling method.

Data collection: Data collection followed a rigorously structured protocol:

Step 1: Eligible patients were identified daily from the hospital's electronic medical records system based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Step 2: Trained researchers approached the selected patients, comprehensively explained the study's objectives, ensured strict data confidentiality, and clarified that participation would not affect their clinical care. Written informed consent was obtained from those willing to participate.

Step 3: Data were collected via face-to-face interviews utilizing a pre-tested questionnaire. Each interview lasted approximately 20–30 minutes, during which researchers provided neutral clarifications if needed to ensure accurate comprehension.

Step 4: Completed questionnaires were meticulously cross-checked at the end of each session. Any incomplete, torn, or illegible forms were excluded from the final dataset.

Instruments and scoring criteria:

The data collection tool was developed based on the Vietnamese Ministry of Health guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of odonto-stomatological diseases (Decision No. 3108/QĐ-BYT, 2015) ⁸ and adapted from a validated questionnaire by Pham Hong Phuc ⁷. Following its development, the instrument was evaluated for validity and pilot-tested on 30 patients; the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficients for the attitude and practice domains were 0.933 and 0.947, respectively.

The questionnaire comprised three parts:

Part A: Sociodemographic Characteristics (e.g., age, gender).

Part B: Attitudes Toward Preventive Oral Care (8 items). Patients rated the necessity of various preventive measures (e.g., daily oral hygiene, therapeutic dental interventions, post-meal brushing, use of fluoridated toothpaste and mouthwash, dental flossing, and biannual scaling and check-ups). A score of 1 was awarded for a "Necessary" response, and 0 for "Unnecessary".

Part C: Preventive Oral Care Practices (9 multiple-choice items). Each item possessed one correct evidence-based practice (e.g., brushing 2–3 times daily for 2–3 minutes; brushing after waking up and before sleeping; utilizing vertical and circular brushing motions; replacing the toothbrush quarterly; daily flossing; biannual dental scaling and check-ups; and seeking immediate dental care upon noticing abnormal signs such as halitosis, gingival bleeding, or tooth discoloration). Correct answers received 1 point, while incorrect or ambiguous answers scored 0.

Scoring Classification: For both attitudes and practices, the total scores were transformed into percentages and categorized into three levels: Good ($\geq 80\%$), Moderate (50–79%), and Poor ($< 50\%$).

Statistical analysis: Data entry, cleaning, and analyses were performed using SPSS software version 20.0. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations (SD), were utilized to summarize the variables. Differences in mean attitude and practice scores across demographic groups were assessed using independent-samples t-tests. The correlation between attitudes and practices was evaluated using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r). A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations: The study protocol was rigorously reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Nam Dinh University of Nursing (Approval No. 579/GCN-HDDD, dated March 5, 2025) and officially authorized by Ha Dong General Hospital. Participation was entirely voluntary, and all collected data were anonymized and used exclusively for academic purposes.

RESULTS**Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the study population (N = 401)**

| | Characteristics | n | % |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Age (years) | 18 - 34 | 112 | 27.9 |
| | 35 - 44 | 62 | 15.5 |
| | 45 - 60 | 75 | 18.7 |
| | > 60 | 152 | 37.9 |
| | Mean \pm SD (Min – Max) | 49.43 \pm 17.63 (20-74) | |
| Gender | Male | 150 | 37.4 |
| | Female | 251 | 62.6 |

As detailed in Table 1, the mean age of the participants was 49.43 ± 17.63 years (range: 20–74 years). The elderly cohort (> 60 years) constituted the largest demographic segment, accounting for 37.9% of the total sample. Regarding gender distribution, the majority of the participants were female (62.6%).

Table 2. Patients' attitudes toward preventive oral care measures (N = 401)

| Preventive Measures | Necessary | | Unnecessary | |
|---|------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| | n | % | n | % |
| Brushing teeth 2–3 times daily | 381 | 95.0 | 20 | 5.0 |
| Seeking treatment for dental diseases | 381 | 95.0 | 20 | 5.0 |
| Brushing teeth after meals to protect oral health | 390 | 97.3 | 11 | 2.7 |
| Rinsing with fluoridated mouthwash | 241 | 60.1 | 160 | 39.9 |
| Brushing with fluoridated toothpaste | 241 | 60.1 | 160 | 39.9 |
| Using dental floss instead of toothpicks | 241 | 60.1 | 160 | 39.9 |
| Undergoing dental scaling every 6 months | 321 | 80.0 | 80 | 20.0 |
| Attending regular dental check-ups every 6 months | 321 | 80.0 | 80 | 20.0 |

The majority recognized the necessity of basic oral hygiene, with 97.3% affirming that post-meal brushing protects oral health, and 95.0% acknowledging the importance of brushing 2–3 times daily and treating existing dental diseases. Furthermore, 80.0% of the participants valued biannual dental scaling and regular check-ups. However, only 60.1% deemed the use of fluoridated mouthwash and toothpaste, as well as replacing toothpicks with dental floss, as necessary practices.

Table 3. Self-reported preventive oral care practices (N = 401)

| Items | Performed | |
|--|-----------|------|
| | n | % |
| Brush teeth 2-3 times a day. | 381 | 95.0 |
| Brushing for 2–3 minutes per session | 301 | 75.1 |
| Brush after meals. | 305 | 76.1 |
| Using vertical and circular brushing motions | 281 | 70.1 |
| Replacing the toothbrush every 3 months | 269 | 67.1 |
| Cleaning interdental spaces daily with dental floss | 207 | 51.6 |
| Attending regular dental check-ups every 6 months | 281 | 70.1 |
| Undergoing dental scaling every 6 months | 281 | 70.1 |
| Seeking immediate dental care upon noticing abnormal signs (e.g., discoloration, halitosis, bleeding gums) | 282 | 70.3 |

Table 3 showed that 95.0% of the patients reported brushing their teeth 2–3 times a day. However, adherence to optimal brushing protocols was lower: 76.1% brushed after meals, 75.1% brushed for the recommended duration of 2–3 minutes, and 70.1% utilized the correct vertical and circular brushing motions. Routine clinical preventive practices, such as biannual scaling and check-ups, were observed in 70.1% of the cohort. Notably, daily interdental cleaning using dental floss exhibited the lowest compliance rate, practiced by only 51.6% of the participants.

Table 4. Overall classification of attitudes and practices (N = 401)

| Domains | Good | | Moderate | | Poor | |
|-----------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|
| | n | % | n | % | n | % |
| Attitudes | 241 | 60.1 | 79 | 19.7 | 81 | 20.2 |
| Practices | 241 | 60.1 | 41 | 10.2 | 119 | 29.7 |

Good attitudes and practices were both observed in 60.1% of the study population. Conversely, 20.2% exhibited poor attitudes, and nearly one-third (29.7%) demonstrated poor preventive practices.

Table 5. Mean attitude and practice scores stratified by gender and age (N = 401)

| Characteristic | | Attitude | | Practice | |
|----------------|------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | | Mean ± SD | p-value* | Mean ± SD | p-value* |
| Gender | Male (n = 150) | 3.71 ± 1.40 | 0.001 | 2.60 ± 2.54 | 0.001 |
| | Female (n = 251) | 7.81 ± 0.87 | | 8.76 ± 0.73 | |
| Year old | < 45 (n = 174) | 7.93 ± 0.55 | 0.001 | 9.00 ± 0.00 | 0.001 |
| | ≥ 45 (n = 227) | 5.01 ± 2.28 | | 4.50 ± 3.43 | |

*Independent-samples t-test

Table 5 revealed statistically significant differences in both attitude and practice scores across demographic subgroups. Female patients achieved significantly higher mean scores in both domains compared to their male counterparts ($p = 0.001$). Similarly, younger patients (< 45 years) demonstrated significantly better attitudes and preventive practices than those aged 45 years and older ($p = 0.001$).

Table 6. Correlation between attitudes and preventive oral care practices (N = 401)

| Variables | Pearson's r | p-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| Attitude vs. Practice | 0.924 | 0.001 |

Pearson's correlation analysis established a strong, positive, and statistically significant correlation between patients' attitudes toward preventive oral care and their actual self-care practices ($r = 0.924$, $p = 0.001$).

DISCUSSION

The present study, evaluating 401 patients at the Odonto-Stomatology Clinic, revealed that 60.1% of the participants possessed a good attitude toward preventive oral self-care. The highest levels of awareness were observed for basic hygiene routines, notably brushing after meals (97.3%) and maintaining daily oral hygiene (95.0%). However, only 60.1% of the patients recognized the necessity of using fluoridated products (toothpaste and mouthwash) and substituting toothpicks with dental floss. This rate is substantially lower than the findings reported by Rui et al ⁹ in China. During data collection, many patients disclosed a lack of awareness regarding fluoride, frequently purchasing toothpaste without considering its active ingredients. This highlights a critical knowledge gap; therefore, targeted health communication emphasizing the prophylactic benefits of fluoride and interdental cleaning is imperative to cultivate a comprehensive preventive mindset.

Regarding oral self-care practices, 60.1% of the cohort demonstrated good preventive practices. Although toothbrushing is the

most fundamental, cost-effective, and efficacious method for preventing dental caries and periodontal diseases ¹⁰, only 76.1% of our participants brushed at optimal times (e.g., after meals). This adherence rate is notably lower than the 96.2% reported by Pham ⁷, which may be attributed to the prevalent habit of brushing immediately before bedtime rather than shortly after dinner. Furthermore, only 70.1% employed the correct vertical and circular brushing motions. The ubiquitous, incorrect habit of horizontal brushing not only fails to effectively disrupt dental plaque but also exacerbates gingival recession and cervical abrasion.

Daily interdental cleaning with dental floss exhibited the lowest compliance, practiced by only 51.6% of the cohort, directly mirroring the suboptimal attitudes toward this behavior. The entrenched cultural habit of using wooden toothpicks, which are easily accessible and inexpensive, poses a significant barrier to adopting dental floss. Consequently, clinical nurses and dental hygienists must utilize visual aids, such as dental models and instructional videos, to explicitly demonstrate proper

brushing mechanics and flossing techniques during routine consultations.

The study identified significant demographic disparities in preventive oral care. Patients under 45 years of age exhibited significantly higher mean scores in both attitudes and practices compared to their older counterparts (≥ 45 years). This aligns with findings by Kassebaum et al ¹¹, affirming that advanced age is inversely associated with oral health literacy and preventive practices. This demographic vulnerability underscores the necessity for healthcare facilities to design tailored, highly visual, and easily comprehensible educational interventions specifically for the elderly population, incorporating repetitive practical instructions to ensure skill retention.

Gender also emerged as a significant determinant, with females demonstrating superior attitudes and practices compared to males ($p = 0.001$). Interestingly, this divergence contrasts with studies by Tanvi et al ¹², Rui et al ⁹, and Luong et al ¹³, which found no significant gender-based differences ($p > 0.05$). This localized finding suggests that male patients at this facility may require more targeted, concise, and visually engaging counseling seamlessly integrated into their clinical visits.

Crucially, Pearson's correlation analysis revealed a strong, positive, and statistically significant relationship between patients' attitudes and their preventive practices ($r = 0.924$, $p < 0.001$). This robust correlation corroborates similar findings by Wang et al ¹⁴ ($r = 0,503$; $p < 0,001$), Bumb et al ¹⁵, Reddy et al ³, and Rui et al ⁹, reinforcing the premise that a positive cognitive disposition is foundational to enacting healthy practices. Negative or apathetic attitudes

directly elevate the risk of poor oral hygiene practices. Therefore, clinical interventions must transcend mere knowledge dissemination; they should actively utilize motivational interviewing and small-group counseling to transform patient attitudes, thereby fostering sustainable, long-term improvements in oral self-care practices.

While this study provides valuable insights applicable to patient management and clinical care, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the reliance on convenience sampling at a single institution limits the generalizability of the findings to the broader population. Second, the cross-sectional design precludes the establishment of causal relationships between demographic variables, attitudes, and oral care practices. Finally, although the instrument demonstrated high internal consistency, it has not been exhaustively validated for construct validity within this specific demographic and may be susceptible to floor effects. Future multi-center, longitudinal studies employing objectively validated clinical measures are recommended to corroborate these findings.

CONCLUSION

This cross-sectional study demonstrates that attitudes and practices regarding preventive oral health self-care among patients at Ha Dong General Hospital are only moderately satisfactory, with 60.1% achieving a "Good" classification in both domains. Significant deficiencies persist regarding the utilization of fluoridated products, adherence to correct brushing techniques, and daily dental flossing. Mean scores for both attitudes and practices were significantly influenced by age and gender, and a strong positive correlation was established between the two domains

($r = 0.924$, $p = 0.001$). To optimize clinical outcomes, healthcare providers must deliver personalized, visually supported oral health education tailored to specific demographic vulnerabilities, emphasizing the correction of detrimental habits. Furthermore, integrating automated appointment reminders into the hospital's management system is highly recommended to encourage adherence to regular biannual dental check-ups and scaling.

REFERENCES

1. Peres MA, et al. Oral diseases: a global public health challenge. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10194):249-260.
2. Tadin A, Poljak GR, Domazet J, et al. Oral hygiene practices and oral health knowledge among students in Split, Croatia. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2022;10(2):406. doi:10.3390/healthcare10020406
3. Reddy V, Bennadi D, Gaduputi S, et al. Oral health related knowledge, attitude, and practice among the pre-university students of Mysore city. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent*. 2014;4(3):154. doi: 10.4103/2231-0762.142012
4. Bumb S, Bhasker D, Agali C, et al. Comparison of oral health knowledge, attitudes, practices and oral hygiene status of Central Reserve Police Force officials in Srinagar, Kashmir. *El Mednifico J*. 2014;2(1):10. DOI:10.18035/emj.v2i1.89
5. Nguyen HB. Current status of oral diseases and related factors among conscripted soldiers in the People's Public Security at Nam Dinh Provincial Police Department 2015-2016. Nam Dinh; 2017.
6. Abhishek K, Shamarao S, Jain J, et al. Impact of caries prevalence on oral health-related quality of life among police personnel in Virajpet, South India. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent*. 2014;4(3):188-192. doi: 10.4103/2231-0762.142027.
7. Pham HP. Current status of oral diseases and patients' perceptions, attitudes, and practices in oral health care at the Dental Department, Central Military Hospital 108 in 2019. [Master's thesis]. Thang Long University; 2019.
8. Ministry of Health of Vietnam. Decision No. 3108/QD-BYT on the promulgation of the professional document "Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of certain oral and maxillofacial diseases". Published July 28, 2015.
9. Rui J, Jiali Y, Rafiqul I, Xiang L, Ermin N. Dental caries prevention knowledge, attitudes, and practice among patients at a university hospital in Guangzhou, China. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2023;59(9). doi:10.3390/medicina59091559
10. Nguyen T. Dental diseases. Ho Chi Minh City Medical Publishing House; 2008.
11. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabe E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJ. Global burden of severe periodontitis in 1990-2010: a systematic review and meta-regression. *J Dent Res*. 2014;93(11):1045-1053. doi:10.1177/0022034514552491
12. Tanvi B, Shourya T, Sachin C, Abhinav B. Knowledge, attitude and practice towards preventive dental care: a KAP study. *J Gerontol Geriatr Res*. 2019;2(1). doi:10.25259/JGOH_34_2019
13. Luong TTH, Ho NTC, et al. Knowledge and practice in oral care and its relationship with oral diseases in elderly patients at Ho Chi Minh City University of Medicine and Pharmacy Hospital in 2022. *Vietnam Med Pharm J*. 2022;529(1B). <https://doi.org/10.51298/vmj.v529i1B.6378>

14. Wang W. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of patients with oral diseases toward oral examinations: a cross-sectional survey study. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2024.1424503

15. Bumb SS, Bhasker DJ, Agali CR, et al. Comparison of oral health knowledge, attitudes, practices and oral hygiene status of Central Reserve Police Force officials in Srinagar, Kashmir. *El Mednifico J*. 2014;2(1).